

# An introduction to Software Product Lines

Maurice ter Beek  
ISTI-CNR  
maurice.terbeek@isti.cnr.it

---


*These slides are partly based on slides by Klaus Pohl, Sven Apel and Slinger Jansen*

---

# Outline

- (Software) Product Line Engineering
- Product variability and features
- Variability modelling and analysis

# Product line engineering in theory...



# ...and in practice



# Software Product Line Engineering

- Developing a family of products from a **common reference model** (usually in terms of features) and **mass customisation** (to serve different markets)

Two main differences with classical software engineering

- Two distinct development processes
  - **Domain engineering**: develop reusable domain artefacts
  - **Application engineering**: develop individual products by reusing domain artefacts
- Variability
  - **Common features** that are part of all products
  - **Variable features** that can be selected for a product

# Variability in requirements

R12: The navigation system must allow the user to make inputs using a control panel or by voice entry

- R12 comprises the following three **realisations**
  1. A navigation system that allows the user to make inputs only via the control panel
  2. A navigation system that allows the user to make inputs only via voice entry
  3. A navigation system that allows the user to make inputs only via the control panel and by voice entry
- Conjunction is a logical “or” or an exclusive “or”?
- Is only one system asked for, or two or three different systems?

# Variation points and variants

- A **variation point** represents an aspect of a product family that varies among the different products  
R12: “input modality of the user interface”
- A **variant** represents a specific configuration (i.e. an incarnation) of a variable aspect that a product in a product family can have  
R12: “input via control panel”, “input via voice entry”

# Variability: prerequisite for success

- **Domain engineering**: explicit documentation of variability supports the identification of possible variable aspects and **fosters explicit decisions** about which aspects shall be variable in the product family (variation points) and which options shall exist for each variable aspect (variants);  
it also supports engineers, architects, designers and testers in realising the defined variation points and variants
- **Application engineering**: explicit documentation of variability in terms of variation points and variants **supports system development** by making explicit the necessary decisions and decision options

# Features

- What is a feature?
  - End-user visible behaviour or property of a system...
  - ...that may be optional and/or may have alternatives
- Features represent **commonalities and variabilities** of (software) systems




# What's in a *feature*?

Reference	Definition
Kang <i>et al.</i> [3]	<i>“a prominent or distinctive user-visible aspect, quality or characteristic of a software system or systems”</i>
Kang <i>et al.</i> [8]	<i>“distinctively identifiable functional abstractions that must be implemented, tested, delivered, and maintained”</i>
Eisenecker and Czarnecki [6]	<i>“anything users or client programs might want to control about a concept”</i>
Bosch <i>et al.</i> [9]	<i>“A logical unit of behaviour specified by a set of functional and non-functional requirements.”</i>
Chen <i>et al.</i> [10]	<i>“a product characteristic from user or customer views, which essentially consists of a cohesive set of individual requirements”</i>
Batory [11]	<i>“an elaboration or augmentation of an entity(s) that introduces a new service, capability or relationship”</i>
Batory <i>et al.</i> [12]	<i>“an increment in product functionality”</i>
Apel <i>et al.</i> [13]	<i>“a structure that extends and modifies the structure of a given program in order to satisfy a stakeholder’s requirement, to implement and encapsulate a design decision, and to offer a configuration option.”</i>

(Classen *et al.* @ FASE 2008)

# Feature selection

Typically, only a subset of feature combinations is **valid**





# Features and software

- Main concept in SPLE
  - **Easy to use** in informal models
  - Easily converts into business: **product sales**
  - Easily converts into product design: **variability**
  - **Enables reuse** of features
- In telecommunication, features became popular in the 1960s with the advent of computer-controlled telephone switches; telecommunication software has been conceived in terms of features ever since

# Variability models: explicit decisions

- Compact representations of all products of a product family in terms of their features
- **Feature diagram/model**: hierarchical tree structure, with the family as its root, features as its nodes and possibly further cross-tree constraints (Kang *et al.*'90)
- **Common Variability Language (CVL)**: OMG's effort to standardise variability modelling as a separate and generic language to define variability on base models
- **Orthogonal Variability Model** (Pohl *et al.* '05), *etc., etc.*


# Advantages of dedicated conceptual model

- **Improved communication** with different stakeholders (e.g. communicate to customers which variants can be selected at which variation points)
- **Transparent decisions** i.e. the originator of a variation point is forced to state the rationale for introducing variability in a specific domain artefact
- Relationships between requirements and variants become **traceable** (e.g. stakeholders can document which requirements, design, implementation and test artefacts are influenced by a variant)


# Requirements coffee machine family

1. Initially, a coin must be inserted: either a €, exclusively in case of coffee machines for the European market, or a \$, exclusively in case of coffee machines for the Canadian market (**alternative** features)
2. After having inserted a coin, the user has to be offered the option to choose whether or not (s)he wants sugar in her/his beverage, after which (s)he has to be offered to select a beverage (**mandatory** features)
3. The choice of beverages offered by a coffee machine may vary (the options being cappuccino, coffee and tea), but every coffee machine must offer at least one beverage (**or** relation among features);  
furthermore, whenever a coffee machine offers cappuccino, then it must offer coffee as well, while tea may only be offered by coffee machines for the European market (**requires** and **excludes** relations among features)
4. Optionally, a ringtone may be rung after the coffee machine has delivered the chosen beverage (**optional** feature)
5. As soon as the user has taken her/his beverage, the coffee machine must return in its idle state


# Coffee machine feature diagram




# Mobile phone feature diagram




# ATM feature diagram




# Automotive feature diagram





# Draw up your own: Composition




Mandatory Feature





# Draw up your own: Optionality (1/2)




# Draw up your own: Optionality (2/2)



Pick a out of b features




# Cross-tree constraints: excludes & requires



e.g. “PullsTrailer EXCLUDES Manual 4” or “PullsTrailer REQUIRES Manual 5”

# How many products?




Transmission contributes one of three options, multiplier = 3  
Car contributes two options, multiplier = 2, but...  
...actually only works in one case; thus: **4 different products**

# Applications of feature diagrams

- **Communicate** with stakeholders
- Identify objects for **reuse**
- Identify objects for **sales opportunities**
- Identify **cross-cutting concerns**
- Software **composition** and **deployment**
- ...

# ... Automated product derivation




[Batory, IEEE TSE 2004]  
[Czarnecki et al., 2000]

# A product line of Lego minifigures (16 valid feature combinations)



‘Feature diagram’  
for configuring the  
16 valid products  
(allowing more...)

LEGO minifigures



# VW features

Toon kleuren en bekleding voor

V8

Exterieur



Silver Leaf

Meerprijs € 0,-

Uni

Metallic

Metallic

Pareffect

Interieur



Zonnebeige-Antraciet

Meerprijs € 3.250,-

Stof

Leder

Leder

Leder

Maak uw keuze uit het kleurenpalet door met de muis een kleur te selecteren.



## Configure your 11-inch MacBook Air

[Hardware](#) | [Service and Support](#) | [Accessories](#) | [Printers](#)

### Summary

**£1,029.00** incl. VAT

[Special 0% financing](#)

[Estimate Payments](#)

**Dispatched:**

Within 24 hours

Free Delivery

[Add to Basket](#)

Gift package available

### Contact Us

0800 048 0408

[Live Chat](#)

### Specifications

1.3GHz Dual-Core Intel Core i5, Turbo Boost up to 2.6GHz

4GB 1600MHz LPDDR3 SDRAM

256GB Flash Storage

Backlit Keyboard (British) & User's Guide (English)

#### ▼ Hardware



#### Processor

Enjoy incredible performance from fourth-generation Intel Core processors. Choose the speed and processor you want.

[Learn more](#)

- 1.3GHz Dual-Core Intel Core i5, Turbo Boost up to 2.6GHz
- 1.7GHz Dual-Core Intel Core i7, Turbo Boost up to 3.3GHz [+ £130.00]



#### Memory

More memory (RAM) increases overall performance and enables your computer to run more applications at the same time.

[Learn more](#)

- 4GB 1600MHz LPDDR3 SDRAM
- 8GB 1600MHz LPDDR3 SDRAM [+ £80.00]



#### Storage

Your MacBook Air comes as standard with flash storage. Flash storage has no moving parts and provides faster responsiveness and enhanced durability.

[Learn more](#)

- 256GB Flash Storage
- 512GB Flash Storage [+ £240.00]



### Configure your BMW vehicle

Are you interested in configuring your ideal BMW? Please select a country to visit the configurator in the Virtual Center or contact your local BMW dealer who will be happy to answer all your questions about the BMW model you are interested in.

United Kingdom

### Related topics



Request information  
Order product catalogues, brochures and equipment lists direct from BMW.



Arrange a test drive  
Make a date for a test drive with your BMW dealer.

# FIND YOUR BMW.

## Filter

> Reset filter

Budget

Vehicle type

- All
- Petrol
- Diesel
- Hybrid
- Electric Vehicle

Body type

- Saloon
- Touring
- Convertible
- Coupé
- Gran Turismo
- Sports Hatch
- Roadster
- Sports Activity Coupé
- Sports Activity Vehicle

Number of seats

30 Vehicles (465 Model variants)

1



**BMW 1 Series 3-door Sports Hatch (34)**  
from £ 17,775.00



**BMW 1 Series 5-door Sports Hatch (39)**  
from £ 18,305.00

2



**BMW 2 Series Coupé (14)**  
from £ 24,265.00

3



**BMW 3 Series Saloon (56)**  
from £ 23,550.00



**BMW 3 Series Touring (54)**  
from £ 24,865.00



**BMW 3 Series Gran Turismo (39)**  
from £ 29,200.00

# BMW product configurator



## 530d Sedan

Totaalprijs | Maandbedrag

**EUR 60.844,88**

- Configuratie- en prijsdetails bekijken
- Leasing & Financiering
- Configuratie opslaan
- Informatie over prijzen

Model Carrosseriekleur, interieur en wielen Verder >

### Carrosseriekleur kiezen

#### Uni



#### Metallic



### Interieur kiezen

#### Stof



#### Leder



Lichtmetalen wielen Sterspaak  
(122), 17"  
EUR 1.344,80

### Lichtmetalen wielen



Lichtmetalen wielen Sterspaak  
(122), 17"  
EUR 1.344,80

# BMW feature packages



## 530d Sedan

Totaalprijs | Maandbedrag

**EUR 67.639,48**

- Configuratie- en prijsdetails bekijk
- Leasing & Financiering
- Configuratie opslaan
- Informatie over prijzen

Model Carrosseriekleur, interieur en wielen Verder ▶

Alpinweiss II  
EUR 0,00

Stof/Leder Flashlight  
Anthrazit  
EUR 0,00

Lichtmetalen wielen M  
Dubbel spaak (172M), 19"  
EUR 1.556,62

Uni



Stof



Lichtmetalen wielen



Metallic



Leder

Lichtmetalen wielen M  
Dubbel spaak (172M), 19"  
EUR 1.556,62  
alleen met  
- M sportpakket (EUR 6.582,78)

# Smart constraints (1/2)

## smart roadster

roadster BRABUS

74 kW benzinemotor

74 kW benzinemotor

74 kW benzinemotor Xclusive



Exterieur  Interieur[6]  Vergroten

Kleuren    Standaard    Opties    Accessoires

Exterieur    Interieur

### bodypanels



### tridion-veiligheidskooi



## Prijs

Adviesprijs van de fabrikant

Basisprijs:	31.450,00 €
tridion 4	
bodypanels:	-,- €
Interieur:	-,- €
Opties:	-,- €
Accessoires:	-,- €

**Totaalprijs[1]:** 31.450,00 €

Verder met...

Interieurkleur >

Brandstofverbruik[4] in l/100 km resp. km/l (gecombineerd): 5,2

# Smart constraints (2/2)

## Prijs

Adviesprijs van de fabrikant

Basisprijs:	35.670,00 €
tridion 6	
bodypanels:	-,- €
Interieur:	-,- €
Opties:	-,- €
Accessoires:	-,- €

**Totaalprijs[1]:** 35.670,00 €



## smart roadster

roadster BRABUS

74 kW benzinemotor Xclusive

Exterieur  Interieur[6]  Vergroten

Kleuren

Standaard

Opties

Accessoires

Exterieur

Interieur

### bodypanels



### tridion-veiligheidskooi




Verder met...

Interieurkleur

Brandstofverbruik[4] in l/100  
km resp. km/l (gecombineerd):  
5,2

# Feature binding times

- The moment a choice is made for a variable feature
- For a software product:
  - Compile time
  - Release time
  - Deployment time
  - Start-up time
  - Run-time
- For a car:
  - Spray time
  - Drive-out-of-the-factory time
  - ...
- For lego:
  - Playing time 

# Variation points and variants

- **External variation points**: visible to stakeholders mainly interested in observable properties like functionality and quality (e.g. customers or users)
- **Internal variation points**: visible mainly to stakeholders who develop the products (e.g. application designers or testers)
- **Mandatory variation points** *must* be selected for each product (i.e. some decision must be made)
- **Optional variation points** *may* be selected for a product (and a decision may be made)

# Variability dependencies (1/2)

Allowed choices of variants at a specific variation point

- **Mandatory variant**: if the variation point is selected, this variant *must* always be selected
- **Optional variant**: if the variation point is selected, this variant *may* be selected but it does not have to be
- **Alternative choice** i.e. a collection of at least two optional variants together with a [min...max] notation to indicate the permissible number of variants to be selected: if the variation point is selected, at least “min” variants *must* be selected while at most “max” variants *may* be selected

# Variability dependencies (2/2)


## Cross-tree constraints

- **Requires**: indicates that the presence of one feature requires the presence of another feature
- **Excludes**: indicates that the presence of two features is mutually exclusive


## Quantitative constraints

- **Feature attributes (non-functional)**:  $cost(\text{feature}) = 7$ , *etc.*
- $cost(\text{product}) = \sum \{ cost(\text{feature}) \mid \text{feature} \in \text{product} \}$

# Attributed feature model (1/2)




# Attributed feature model (2/2)



# Domain RE

- During domain RE, the **requirements for the entire SPL** are defined
- Basis for: (i) developing the entire SPL family  
(ii) defining the requirements of each product
- Comprises the **same core and cross-sectional RE activities** as RE for single systems;  
these activities have the **same goals plus**, in addition, the **goal to define the SPL variability**

# Elicitation of requirements variability



# Application RE

- During application RE, the **requirements for a specific application of the SPL** are defined by exploiting the domain requirements artefacts (incl. defined variation points and variants)
- Compared with RE for single systems, two additional tasks must be accomplished:
  1. **Binding** the defined **variability**
  2. **Documenting** the variability binding

# So why have variability?

- Flexibility to deliver

- Different versions of products based on the **same trunk** of code (Windows XP professional, Windows XP Home edition, ...)
- Onto different **platforms** (a linux, a mac and a windows version)
- With different **components** (Windows Media Player + Divx, iTunes, ...)
- Onto different **plug-in** products, *etc., etc., etc.*

- Is it an advantage then? Yes, but...

- **Requirements engineering** becomes more complex
- **Deployment** becomes more complex
- **Sales** becomes more complex
- **Updates** become WAY more complex
- **Testing** becomes more complex (all configurations need to be tested)

“We always have 126,000,000 different bicycles in store!

(but only the parts for 1,000...)”

# Weakness: feature interactions

- Features need to be combined, but have restrictions on each other
- Consider e.g. a phone switching system with the following features
  1. Call forwarding
  2. Do not disturb
  3. 3-way calling
  4. No interaction between the features
- Scenario 1: Bob forwards his calls to Alice; Alice sets “Do not disturb”; Bob receives a call, which is forwarded to Alice; Alice’s phone rings!
- Scenario 2: Bob still forwards his calls to Alice; Bob invites Alice for a 3-way call; Carolina calls Bob to become part of the 3-way call; either
  1. Carolina is forwarded to Alice, who cannot accept any other calls then; or
  2. Carolina is accepted into the 3-way call

# Weakness: complexity/scalability

with **33** optional, independent features



a unique product for every

---


---

person on this planet

320 optional, independent  
features

more possible products than estimated

atoms in the universe



# (Behavioural) variability analysis

Rigorously establish critical system requirements (for **quality assurance**) with formal methods and automated analysis tools

- For decades successful in single product/system engineering
- Not exploited broadly in SPLE, while correctness of artifacts for reuse and correctness of developed products is of crucial importance (many massively produced (embedded) systems and safety- or business-critical applications)

Traditionally

- Mainstream formal methods do *not* consider **variability** directly
- Formal methods that *have* been applied in SPLE mainly focus on structural rather than **behavioural** properties (feature model analysis, product line testing, *etc.*)

# Variability analysis

(type checking, static analysis, model checking, theorem proving, testing, *etc.*)




**Product-Based Analysis**

**Family-Based Analysis**


**Feature-Based Analysis**

# Product-based analysis



$O(2^n)$  for  $n$  features

# Family-based analysis




Beneficial for many products with substantial similarities

Generates complex analysis tasks


**Requires (compact) family metamodels**




# Modal Transition Systems with variability constraints



# MTS $\models \varphi$ implies for all LTS $\models \varphi$



# Feature-based analysis



Beneficial for large and cohesive features

Support for open-world scenarios

Incomplete w.r.t. feature interactions

# Summary

- RE in SPLE differs from conventional RE
  - Separation of domain and application RE
  - Definition and binding of variability during RE
- Variability of an SPL is essential for its success  
(the available variability strongly influences which products can be developed based on the SPL according to customer wishes)
- Domain RE: elicit, document and validate variability
- Application RE: available variability communicated to the customer / user to bind the variation points and variants
- Central role of variability in SPLE: variability models/constraints
- (Behavioural) variability analysis: challenges existing techniques  
(growing numbers of products and increasing numbers of states)




# Outlook

- Compact models for product families
  - Modal Transition Systems with variability constraints
  - Featured Transition Systems
  - Model transformation: from FTS to MTS
- SPL model checking of FTS
  - A feature mu-calculus
  - Family-based model checking with mCRL2 language and toolset
- SPL model checking of MTS
  - v-ACTL: variability-aware ACTL
  - VMC: Variability Model Checker



VMC v6.2

- New Model ...
- Edit Current Model
- Explore the MTS
- View Current Model
- Draw Family MTS
- Generate Products
- Welcome
- Quit



Kandisky 1908

Evaluation of the formula "[dollar] EF {cappuccino} true" on all family products

<a href="#">product_01+euro+tea</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_02+coffee+euro+pour_espresso</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_03+coffee+euro+pour_regular</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_04+coffee+euro+pour_espresso+tea</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_05+coffee+euro+pour_regular+tea</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_06+cappuccino+coffee+euro+pour_espresso</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_07+cappuccino+coffee+euro+pour_regular</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_08+cappuccino+coffee+euro+pour_espresso+tea</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_09+cappuccino+coffee+euro+pour_regular+tea</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_10+coffee+dollar+pour_espresso</a>	Formula evaluates	FALSE
<a href="#">product_11+coffee+dollar+pour_regular</a>	Formula evaluates	FALSE
<a href="#">product_12+cappuccino+coffee+dollar+pour_espresso</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE
<a href="#">product_13+cappuccino+coffee+dollar+pour_regular</a>	Formula evaluates	TRUE

Logic Formula for all Products

[dollar] EF {cappuccino} true

Check  
The  
Formula

Explain  
the  
Result